

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,420	07/19/2001	David H. Gracias	H00498/70151 TJO	7277
23628	7590 11/14/2003		EXAM	INER
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			KIM, PAUL D	
FEDERAL RI	ESERVE PLAZA			
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, M.	A 02210-2211		3729	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	C
Advisory Action	09/909,420	GRACIAS ET AL.	
, avicery , residen	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul D Kim	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	S
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendment deal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to t which places the application	o a n in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the match b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The second fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the difference of the period of the second of the period of the second of the period of the second of the	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date s ire later than SIX MONTHS from the VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under od of extension and the correspondic of the shortened statutory period for Office later than three months after the	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See of 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropria ing amount of the fee. The appropriant reply originally set in the final Officer	e MPEP ate extension iate extension ce action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) X they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simple	ifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed am	endment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		considered but does NOT pl	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were no	∍wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/ S:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-15.			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square a	pproved or b)☐ disapprove	d by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	

PETERVO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10. Other: ____

Application No. 09/909,420

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the proposed After Final amendment filed on 10/31/03, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation o "non-predeterministic" in line 3. Also, newly submitted claims 16-42 contain limitations such as "wherein at least one of the first, second, third or forth components has a mating surface that is rotationally symmetric" of claim 16 and "wherein the at least one electrical circuit comprises an I/O connection for an integrated circuit" of claim 25 and "porous non-planar arrangement" of claim 34. Those limitations were not recited originally. Accordingly, this raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.